UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,966	02/25/2004	Leonard Pinchuk	BSI-430US10	8808	
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 04/09/200 STIA	8	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
VALLET FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/788,966	PINCHUK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

Brian E. Pellegrino 3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	-
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonm application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which p application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following tir periods:	laces the Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WILLIAM MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are considered as a calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office actions set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if time and parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion fee ension fee n; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appe Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issuappeal; and/or	ues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-	324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	021).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancen non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	celing the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explana how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	tion of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be en because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is neces was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to preshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance bed See Continuation Sheet.	cause:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	
/Brian E Pellegrino/	
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3738	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Reissue Declaration filed 2/25/04 failed to properly identify at least one 35 U.S.C.251 error. It is not sufficient for an oath /declaration to merely state "1. Originally the claims required that the liner of the trunk component have both a generally cylindrical upper body portion and a generally cylindrical lower body portion. The error is that this is unduly narrow in that the liner need only have a generally cylindrical body portion and two leg portions. 2. Originally the claims required several steps to make a supportive graft, including inserting and inflating a liner". The error here is that the original claims were too narrow. Patentees seek to obtain claims having a scope broader than the scope of the claims in the issued patent ". Rather, the oath /declaration must specifically identify an error.

Any error in the claims must be identified by reference to the specific claim(s) and the specific claim language wherein lies the error. (MPEP 1414 II. (C)).